



## Process-Innovation Driven Market-Responsiveness in Residential Development

Johan Lucas Away<sup>1\*</sup>, Christie Stephanie Piar<sup>2</sup>, Amiril Azizah<sup>3</sup>, Puteri Sahara<sup>4</sup>,  
Wafiq Delfina<sup>5</sup>

<sup>12345</sup> Politeknik Negeri Samarinda, Indonesia

\*Corresponding Author: [johanagnes66@gmail.com](mailto:johanagnes66@gmail.com)

### Article History

**Received:**  
19<sup>th</sup> April 2026

**Accepted:**  
30<sup>th</sup> April 2026

**Published:**  
30<sup>th</sup> April 2026

### Abstract

*This study examines how digital process innovation in project scheduling may support market responsiveness in residential development. Using a qualitative descriptive case study, it explores the implementation of Microsoft Project in a single-story Type 91 housing project in Samarinda. Data were collected through participatory observation, in-depth interviews, and project documentation. The findings suggest that digital scheduling features, including calendar configuration, task dependency management, critical path identification, and performance monitoring, are associated with improvements in planning accuracy, coordination, and response speed. These improvements may support marketing-relevant outcomes such as more reliable delivery promises and enhanced customer trust. The study highlights that Microsoft Project may function not only as a technical tool but also as an enabler of innovation marketing through process reliability and schedule transparency. Future research should test these relationships quantitatively using customer-related variables.*

**Keywords:** Digital Scheduling; Market Responsiveness; Operational Reliability; Process Innovation; Residential Development

**JEL:** O32, L74, M31

### 1. Introduction

Residential property developers compete not only through product design and price but also through the credibility of their delivery promises (Kotler & Keller, 2016). In the housing market, customers may evaluate a developer through visible signals such as project progress, timeliness, responsiveness, and the ability to manage uncertainty. For this reason, operational reliability is closely related to marketing performance (Hapsari et al., 2017). A housing project that is completed according to schedule may strengthen buyer confidence, improve word-of-mouth, and enhance the developer's market reputation. Conversely, repeated schedule changes may reduce perceived value and may weaken the credibility of promotional claims (Konuk, 2019).

This issue is especially relevant in developing cities such as Samarinda, where residential growth continues but digital project control is still limited (Low et al., 2020). Many small and medium housing projects continue to rely on conventional coordination methods, which may

make scheduling decisions slower and more vulnerable to inconsistency (Kerzner, 2021). The consequence is not only technical inefficiency but also weaker market responsiveness. When managers cannot detect delays early or communicate corrective actions clearly, the project may become less capable of supporting customer trust and competitive differentiation.

From an innovation perspective, such conditions open space for process-based improvement. The innovation literature emphasizes that firms can innovate not only through new products but also through new or improved business processes that increase efficiency, coordination, and value delivery (Tidd & Bassant, 2013). In marketing, the relevance of innovation lies in its ability to improve how value is created, communicated, and delivered to customers. In property development, therefore, a digital scheduling system can become more than an internal control tool; it may become part of the firm's marketing capability because it may support reliable promises, faster response, and stronger service quality (Verhoef et al., 2021).

This argument is consistent with the focus of contemporary marketing, which places customer value, responsiveness, and capability development at the center of competitive advantage. When a developer can predict project duration more accurately, identify critical activities, and adjust resources quickly, the project team may be better able to protect promised completion dates. In practical terms, this capability may help the developer communicate realistic delivery schedules, reduce uncertainty in customer interactions, and maintain market confidence (Payne et al., 2017).

The original manuscript examined Microsoft Project mainly as a tool for time control in the construction of a single-story Type 91 house. That operational focus is useful, but it does not yet align strongly with a marketing-oriented perspective. To address this limitation, the present study reframes the same empirical case as an analysis of digital process innovation and market responsiveness in residential development (Nambisan et al., 2019). The shift does not alter the empirical evidence. Instead, it changes the analytical lens: scheduling accuracy, critical path visibility, and performance monitoring are interpreted as mechanisms that may influence customer value and competitive positioning (Eggert et al., 2018).

Based on that repositioning, this study aims to analyze how the implementation of Microsoft Project in a Type 91 housing project may contribute to digital process innovation and how that innovation may support marketing-relevant outcomes for residential developers. The study contributes in two ways. Empirically, it shows how a simple digital tool may improve the management of a small to medium housing project. Conceptually, it suggests that process reliability and schedule transparency may be treated as part of innovation marketing in property development, especially in contexts where customer trust depends heavily on delivery performance.

## **2. Literature Review**

### **2.1 Marketing Innovation and Business Process Innovation**

Innovation is not limited to the introduction of entirely new products. Innovation can also emerge through changes in organizational routines, delivery systems, and business processes that improve performance and value creation. The Oslo Manual treats innovation as the implementation of new or improved products or processes that differ significantly from previous practice and are intended to improve organizational outcomes (OECD, 2018). Recent studies also emphasize that digital transformation enables firms to redesign processes and enhance coordination, efficiency, and value delivery (Björkdahl, 2020).

Within this perspective, project scheduling software can be understood as a process innovation when it changes how a firm plans, coordinates, and controls work (Hanelt et al., 2021). For a residential developer, the significance of such innovation lies in its ability to reduce uncertainty and improve execution consistency. These effects matter not only internally but also externally because they shape the credibility of market promises. When operational processes become more predictable, firms are better positioned to support the value proposition presented to prospective buyers (Ellström et al., 2022).

## **2.2 Marketing Value in Residential Property Development**

Marketing theory emphasizes that firms compete by creating, communicating, and delivering value to customers. In the housing sector, value is not represented only by physical building features. Customers also value timely delivery, transparent progress information, and confidence that the promised product will be completed as expected (Sembiring & Nisa, 2024). These aspects are highly relevant in residential transactions because property purchases involve high financial commitment and considerable perceived risk (Alrawad et al., 2023).

For that reason, customer trust in property development is strongly influenced by execution quality. Promotional messages that promise quality, convenience, or reliability become more persuasive when they are supported by strong internal control systems. In this context, schedule visibility and delivery reliability may be viewed as indirect marketing assets because they strengthen the alignment between communicated value and realized value.

## **2.3 Digital Capabilities, Responsiveness, and Competitive Positioning**

Digital marketing capability in the property sector has increasingly been associated with real-time information, value communication, responsiveness, and decision support (Nakamura, 2024). Previous research on property development has shown that digital tools can improve customer insight, strengthen communication, and support organizational responsiveness (Abdullah et al., 2024). However, many studies emphasize front-end marketing tools such as websites, social media, and digital interaction platforms, while paying less attention to back-end operational systems that make market promises credible (Khin & Ho, 2019).

This study addresses this gap by focusing on the role of digital scheduling as a form of process innovation that may support market-facing capabilities. A developer that can respond quickly to changes in the field is better able to manage customer expectations and reduce the reputational risk of delay. In this sense, responsiveness is not merely an operational characteristic. It is also a marketing capability because it affects how customers perceive reliability, professionalism, and service quality (Day, 2011).

## **2.4 Microsoft Project as an Enabler of Market-Facing Reliability**

Microsoft Project has been widely used to manage schedules, resources, and task dependencies in construction work (Project Management Institute (PMI), 2021). Prior studies have shown that the software is effective for identifying the critical path, adjusting work calendars, and monitoring performance through tools such as baseline comparison, Schedule Performance Index, and Cost Performance Index. These functions are commonly discussed from a project-control perspective.

The present study extends that discussion by arguing that these same functions also have market consequences. When project managers use Microsoft Project to create realistic schedules, identify risky activities, and take corrective action early, they improve the developer's ability to protect promised delivery dates. This strengthens what may be called market-facing reliability: the capacity of the firm to support marketing claims with disciplined project execution (Homburg et al., 2017). Therefore, Microsoft Project becomes relevant to innovation marketing not because

it promotes the product directly, but because it improves the system through which the promised value is delivered.

### **2.5 Time reliability as a component of perceived customer value**

In service and project-based industries, customer value is influenced by both outcome quality and the reliability of the delivery process (Becker & Jaakkola, 2020). A housing unit may ultimately be completed with acceptable physical quality, but late delivery can still reduce the customer's overall evaluation because it creates uncertainty, inconvenience, and additional financial burden. For this reason, timeliness should be treated as part of the broader value proposition rather than as a purely technical matter (Heinonen et al., 2010).

This idea is particularly relevant in property marketing, where promises about handover schedules, progress stages, and completion targets are often embedded in the sales conversation (Nabil Maulana & Endy Gunanto Marsasi, 2024). The stronger the developer's control over time, the more credible these promises become. As a result, time reliability functions as a bridge between internal project capability and external customer perception. This bridge explains why scheduling innovation deserves attention in marketing-oriented discussions of residential development.

Based on the above discussion, this study integrates insights from process innovation, customer value, and digital capability literature to explain how digital scheduling may support market responsiveness in residential development. In this conceptual view, digital scheduling enhances operational reliability, which improves transparency and responsiveness, and may contribute to customer-related perceptions such as trust and perceived value. This pathway provides the analytical basis for interpreting the empirical findings of this study, but it is not tested empirically and is used as an interpretive framework for analyzing the case findings.

## **3. Method**

This study uses a qualitative descriptive approach with a single-case design. It is exploratory in nature and focuses on managerial interpretation rather than direct measurement of market outcomes. The case is the construction of a single-story Type 91 residential house located in Samarinda. The qualitative approach was selected because the study aims to understand how a digital scheduling system is implemented in practice and how its operational effects can be interpreted in relation to market-related value creation, following a qualitative research framework (Creswell, W.J., & Creswell, 2018). Rather than statistically measuring customer responses, the study analyzes the managerial mechanisms through which digital process innovation may support market responsiveness. Accordingly, this study does not include direct customer-side data such as trust, satisfaction, or purchase intention.

The research subjects consisted of the project manager, the planning team, and field workers involved in the project. These actors were chosen because they directly participated in schedule preparation, resource coordination, monitoring, and corrective decision making. Data were collected through three techniques. First, in-depth interviews were conducted to explore perceptions regarding scheduling problems, digital coordination, and the usefulness of Microsoft Project. Second, participatory observation was used to understand how the software was applied during project execution. Third, documentation analysis was conducted on project schedules, working calendars, overtime settings, and performance records.

The data were analyzed through coding, categorization, and interpretation (Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, 2014). Coding was used to identify key themes such as schedule accuracy, responsiveness, coordination, risk control, and value delivery. Categorization grouped

these findings into broader analytical dimensions related to digital process innovation and marketing relevance. Interpretation connected the empirical findings with the literature on innovation, customer value, and market responsiveness. Source triangulation and member checking were used to strengthen validity and ensure that the resulting interpretation remained consistent with field realities.

## **4. Result And Discussion**

### **4.1 Digital calendar configuration as process innovation**

One of the most important findings concerns the use of the Change Working Time feature to configure the project calendar according to field realities. The project was planned with effective working hours of 08:00-12:00 and 13:00-17:00, Monday to Saturday, while Sundays and national holidays were treated as non-working days. The calendar also incorporated nine national holidays and a period of overtime between 10 and 20 February. This configuration produced a more realistic work schedule because non-working periods were automatically excluded from the project timeline.

From a marketing innovation perspective, this step matters because realistic schedules reduce the risk of overpromising. In housing development, unrealistic time commitments may negatively affect buyer trust and weaken the developer's credibility. By transforming manual calendar planning into a digitally controlled system, the project team improved the accuracy of delivery promises. This represents process innovation because the organization adopted a more structured method for producing schedule information that could later support customer communication and managerial accountability.

### **4.2 Critical path visibility and response speed**

The project was scheduled to start on 2 September 2024 and to finish on 25 March 2025, with a total duration of 171 working days. Through Microsoft Project, the team could identify task dependencies and determine the critical path consisting of foundation, columns, beams, brick walls, roofing, ceiling installation, and interior painting. The software made it easier to see which activities had no slack and which therefore required immediate managerial attention (Kumar et al., 2023).

This visibility may support faster response. When the critical path is clearly identified, managers can act earlier to prevent delay and reallocate labor or sequence tasks more effectively. In operational terms, this improves project control. In marketing terms, it may improve responsiveness, because the developer becomes better able to protect promised milestones and communicate progress with greater confidence. The ability to respond quickly to potential delay is especially valuable in residential projects where customer expectations are highly sensitive to time uncertainty (Guerola-Navarro et al., 2024).

### **4.3 Task dependency management and delivery reliability**

The scheduling logic combined Finish to Start, Start to Start, and Finish to Finish relationships, allowing some activities to proceed sequentially while others were arranged in parallel to improve efficiency. This arrangement shows that the software was used not only to list tasks but also to design a coordinated workflow (Rintamäki & Saarijärvi, 2021). Auto Schedule and Tracking Gantt features enabled the team to revise interdependent activities when changes occurred in duration, working time, or field conditions.

This finding indicates that delivery reliability is produced through coordination, not through isolated control of individual tasks. For residential developers, reliability is a market-relevant factor because it may support consistency between promotional claims and actual

execution. A developer that can maintain logical and flexible task relationships is more capable of honoring promised completion targets. This reinforces the argument that operational digitalization may contribute to marketing-related outcomes even when the tool itself is not used in customer-facing promotion (Al-Moaid & Almarhdi, 2024).

#### **4.4 Performance monitoring and competitive positioning**

The study also found that project evaluation used Schedule Performance Index and Cost Performance Index as monitoring instruments. These indicators helped managers identify deviations between baseline plans and actual progress, and they provided an objective basis for corrective action. Baseline tracking allowed the team to detect whether the project was staying within time and cost expectations.

Such monitoring is relevant to competitive positioning because developers are often judged by their reliability under budget and time constraints. A firm that can monitor performance systematically is better able to manage risk, limit delay, and maintain the perception of professionalism. Although CPI and SPI are technical indicators, their managerial implications extend to market reputation. They may help preserve the integrity of the delivery promise, which is relevant to customer trust in the property sector.

#### **4.5 Reframing Microsoft Project as innovation marketing support**

The overall findings suggest that Microsoft Project may be interpreted as an enabling technology for innovation marketing in residential development. The software does not replace promotional communication, brand strategy, or direct customer engagement. Instead, it strengthens the operational foundation that makes those market-facing activities more credible. Through realistic scheduling, critical path visibility, dependency management, and performance monitoring, the project team generated outcomes that are potentially meaningful from a marketing perspective: schedule transparency, responsive decision making, and greater delivery reliability.

Accordingly, this case shows that innovation marketing may emerge from back-end process improvement when that improvement enhances value delivery and may help protect customer expectations. For small and medium housing developers, digital process innovation may therefore function as a practical route toward potentially stronger market trust and differentiation, especially in environments where formal marketing systems remain limited but project execution strongly shapes customer perception.

#### **4.6 Schedule transparency as a trust-building signal**

Beyond internal coordination, the use of a structured digital schedule creates transparency that may support trust-building communication. When project milestones are defined clearly and progress can be compared against a baseline, managers have stronger grounds for reporting status to owners, supervisors, and prospective buyers. Such transparency is important in property transactions because customers often fear hidden delay, shifting completion dates, and inconsistent field updates.

In practical terms, a transparent schedule reduces information asymmetry between the developer and external stakeholders. It helps managers explain why certain activities are delayed, which activities are being accelerated, and what corrective steps are being taken. This communication quality is marketing-relevant because transparency may be interpreted by customers as a sign of professionalism and honesty (Holmlund et al., 2020). Therefore, schedule transparency becomes a complementary mechanism through which digital process innovation may support market trust.

Table 1. Operational Innovations and Their Marketing Relevance

Digital Practice	Operational Effect	Marketing Innovation Relevance
Change Working Time and digital calendar	More realistic working-day calculation and reduced schedule distortion	Supports realistic delivery promises and may help protect customer trust
Critical path identification	Faster recognition of delay-sensitive activities	May improve responsiveness and may strengthen perceived reliability
Task dependency management	Better coordination of sequential and parallel work	May support consistent value delivery and schedule transparency
Tracking Gantt and baseline comparison	Early detection of deviation from plan	Enables proactive communication and reputation protection
SPI and CPI monitoring	Objective evaluation of time and cost performance	May strengthen professional image and competitive positioning

Source: Author’s Analysis (2025)

**4.7 Theoretical implications**

Theoretically, this study contributes to the discussion of marketing innovation by showing that not all relevant innovation originates in promotional channels or product novelty. In the property sector, innovation may also emerge through internal routines that help improve the credibility of market promises. This finding broadens the interpretation of marketing innovation toward the integration of operational systems and value delivery. It also supports the view that customer value is co-produced by communication, process quality, and execution reliability rather than by promotional activity alone (Manyanga et al., 2022).

The study also strengthens the bridge between innovation management and marketing management. The innovation literature explains how firms improve performance through new or improved processes, while marketing theory emphasizes value creation and delivery. The present case shows that these perspectives can meet in the context of residential development. A digital scheduling system becomes strategically meaningful when it helps a developer preserve promised completion dates, maintain responsiveness under uncertainty, and communicate progress more credibly to stakeholders.

**4.8 Managerial implications for residential developers**

For managers of small and medium housing projects, the findings suggest that the adoption of Microsoft Project should be positioned as a strategic capability rather than as a purely administrative tool. Managers can use digital scheduling not only to monitor internal work but also to support external commitments to buyers, financiers, and project partners. A realistic calendar, a visible critical path, and a measurable baseline create stronger foundations for communicating delivery certainty and for responding quickly when project conditions change.

The case also indicates that market trust may be supported through better coordination between project management and marketing functions. When progress information is reliable, marketing teams can communicate more carefully, sales staff can manage expectations more honestly, and management can avoid exaggerated completion claims that later damage reputation. This is especially relevant for emerging residential developers that may not yet possess strong brand equity. In such cases, disciplined project execution may become an important basis for market trust.

#### 4.9 Boundary conditions and future model development

Even so, the present study should not be interpreted as evidence that Microsoft Project automatically improves marketing performance in every case. The marketing effect is indirect and contingent on whether the organization converts operational reliability into customer-facing value communication. If schedule data are poorly communicated, hidden from buyers, or disconnected from service processes, the innovation remains internal and its market impact may be limited.

Future research can build on this case by developing an integrated model that links digital process innovation, project reliability, schedule transparency, buyer trust, and purchase intention (Weidig et al., 2024). Quantitative testing across multiple housing projects would make it possible to estimate the strength of these relationships more rigorously. Comparative studies between developers that use digital scheduling and those that rely on conventional coordination could also clarify how process innovation contributes to competitiveness in the residential property market.

#### 4.10 Contextual relevance for developing-market property projects

The relevance of this study is stronger in developing-market property contexts, where many transactions are shaped by trust, informal reputation, and direct observation of project progress (Regita & Yulinda, 2024). In such settings, buyers may not rely solely on formal brand indicators. They also evaluate whether the developer appears disciplined, transparent, and capable of finishing what has been promised. Because of that, process visibility itself becomes part of marketing communication, even when it is not packaged as a formal campaign.

### 5. Conclusion

This study suggests that the implementation of Microsoft Project in a single-story Type 91 housing project can be interpreted not only as a time-control mechanism but also as a form of digital process innovation that may support market responsiveness. Calendar digitization, critical path visibility, dependency management, and performance monitoring are associated with improvements in planning accuracy, coordination, and corrective action. These operational gains are relevant from a marketing perspective because they help make delivery promises more realistic and may contribute to the developer's ability to sustain customer trust.

The main contribution of this paper lies in reframing a construction scheduling case into a marketing innovation discussion that is appropriate for the residential property sector. In this context, innovation marketing is reflected in the organization's ability to align internal execution with external value promises. A digitally managed schedule may become part of the developer's value proposition because it supports transparency, reliability, and professional responsiveness. This study is exploratory in nature and is limited by its focus on a single project and by the absence of direct customer-side measurement. Future research should therefore test the relationship between digital process innovation and marketing outcomes more explicitly by including variables such as buyer trust, perceived value, customer satisfaction, referral intention, and purchase intention. Such an approach would deepen the contribution of operational studies to the broader marketing innovation literature.

### 6. References

- Abdullah, S., Mohd Nawawi, M. N., Halim, M. A. S. A., Omar, K., Rosli, N. A., & Harun, A. N. (2024). Digital Transformation for Sustainable Business Performance: A Systematic Literature Review. *UCJC Business and Society Review*, 21(80). <https://doi.org/10.3232/UBR.2024.V21.N1.02>

- Al-Moaid, N. A. A., & Almarhdi, S. G. (2024). Developing dynamic capabilities for successful digital transformation projects: the mediating role of change management. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00446-9>
- Alrawad, M., Lutfi, A., Almaiah, M. A., & Elshaer, I. A. (2023). Examining the influence of trust and perceived risk on customers intention to use NFC mobile payment system. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2023.100070>
- Becker, L., & Jaakkola, E. (2020). Customer experience: fundamental premises and implications for research. In *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* (Vol. 48, Number 4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00718-x>
- Björkdahl, J. (2020). Strategies for Digitalization in Manufacturing Firms. *California Management Review*, 62(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125620920349>
- Creswell, W.J., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches. In *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* (5th ed., Vol. 53, Issue 9). SAGE Publications, Inc. In *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* (Vol. 53, Number 9).
- Day, G. S. (2011). Closing the marketing capabilities gap. *Journal of Marketing*, 75(4). <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.75.4.183>
- Eggert, A., Ulaga, W., Frow, P., & Payne, A. (2018). Conceptualizing and communicating value in business markets: From value in exchange to value in use. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2018.01.018>
- Ellström, D., Holtström, J., Berg, E., & Josefsson, C. (2022). Dynamic capabilities for digital transformation. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 15(2). <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSMA-04-2021-0089>
- Guerola-Navarro, V., Gil-Gomez, H., Ultra-Badenes, R., & Soto-Acosta, P. (2024). Customer relationship management and its impact on entrepreneurial marketing: a literature review. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 20(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-022-00800-x>
- Hanelt, A., Bohnsack, R., Marz, D., & Antunes Marante, C. (2021). A Systematic Review of the Literature on Digital Transformation: Insights and Implications for Strategy and Organizational Change. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(5). <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12639>
- Hapsari, R., Cledes, M. D., & Dean, D. (2017). The impact of service quality, customer engagement and selected marketing constructs on airline passenger loyalty. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQSS-07-2016-0048>
- Heinonen, K., Strandvik, T., Mickelsson, K. J., Edvardsson, B., Sundström, E., & Andersson, P. (2010). A customer-dominant logic of service. *Journal of Service Management*, 21(4). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09564231011066088>
- Holmlund, M., Van Vaerenbergh, Y., Ciuchita, R., Ravald, A., Sarantopoulos, P., Ordenes, F. V., & Zaki, M. (2020). Customer experience management in the age of big data analytics: A strategic framework. *Journal of Business Research*, 116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.01.022>

- Homburg, C., Jozić, D., & Kuehnl, C. (2017). Customer experience management: toward implementing an evolving marketing concept. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 45(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-015-0460-7>
- Kerzner, Harold. (2021). Project Management : a Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Controlling, Tenth Edition. In *Project Manager (II)* (Number 3).
- Khin, S., & Ho, T. C. F. (2019). Digital technology, digital capability and organizational performance: A mediating role of digital innovation. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIS-08-2018-0083>
- Konuk, F. A. (2019). The influence of perceived food quality, price fairness, perceived value and satisfaction on customers' revisit and word-of-mouth intentions towards organic food restaurants. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.05.005>
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). Marketing Management (15th ed.). Pearson Education. - References - Scientific Research Publishing. In *Open Journal of Business and Management*.
- Kumar, V., Pandey, A., & Singh, R. (2023). Project success and critical success factors of construction projects: Project practitioners' perspectives. *Organization, Technology and Management in Construction*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.2478/otmcj-2023-0001>
- Low, S., Ullah, F., Shirowzhan, S., Sepasgozar, S. M. E., & Lee, C. L. (2020). Smart digital marketing capabilities for sustainable property development: A case of Malaysia. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12135402>
- Manyanga, W., Makanyeza, C., & Muranda, Z. (2022). The effect of customer experience, customer satisfaction and word of mouth intention on customer loyalty: The moderating role of consumer demographics. *Cogent Business and Management*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2082015>
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2014). Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications. In *CEUR Workshop Proceedings* (Vol. 1304).
- Nabil Maulana, & Endy Gunanto Marsasi. (2024). Perceived Risk And Trust To Maximize Purchase Intention Through Planned Behavior Theory. *Jurnal Ekonomi*, 29(3). <https://doi.org/10.24912/je.v29i3.2664>
- Nakamura, T. (2024). The Impact of Digital Transformation on Organizational Performance in Japan. *International Journal of Strategic Management*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.47604/ijism.2732>
- Nambisan, S., Wright, M., & Feldman, M. (2019). The digital transformation of innovation and entrepreneurship: Progress, challenges and key themes. *Research Policy*, 48(8). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2019.03.018>
- OECD. (2018). Oslo Manual 2018: Guidelines for Collecting, Reporting and Using Data on Innovation, 4th Edition, The Measurement of Scientific, Technological and Innovation Activities. *Handbook of Innovation Indicators and Measurement*.

- Payne, A., Frow, P., & Eggert, A. (2017). The customer value proposition: evolution, development, and application in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 45(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-017-0523-z>
- Project Management Institute (PMI). (2021). A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge PMBOK GUIDE Seventh Edition and The Standard for Project Management. In *The Standard for Project Management and A Guide to The Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK guide 7th edition)*. (Number July).
- Regita, D. A., & Yulinda, A. T. (2024). The Effect of Customer Relationship Marketing and Trust on Customer Loyalty (Case Study on Jne Nusa Indah Customers Bengkulu City). *EKOMBIS REVIEW: Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.37676/ekombis.v12i1.4296>
- Rintamäki, T., & Saarijärvi, H. (2021). An integrative framework for managing customer value propositions. *Journal of Business Research*, 134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.05.030>
- Sembiring, T. H., & Nisa, P. C. (2024). Perceived Value of Online Reviews, Trust, Risk Perception, Purchase Intention and Actual Purchase. *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan*, 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.37641/jimkes.v11i3.2274>
- Tidd, J., & Bassant, J. (2013). Managing innovation; integrating technological, market and organizational change, 5th ed. (online access included). *Reference & Research Book News VO* - 28.
- Verhoef, P. C., Broekhuizen, T., Bart, Y., Bhattacharya, A., Qi Dong, J., Fabian, N., & Haenlein, M. (2021). Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary reflection and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.09.022>
- Weidig, J., Weippert, M., & Kuehnl, C. (2024). Personalized touchpoints and customer experience: A conceptual synthesis. *Journal of Business Research*, 177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.114641>